



Board of Commissioners

PUBLIC HEARING

A public hearing was held by the Spalding County Board of Commissioners in their office in the Courthouse Annex, Thursday, July 22, 2004 beginning at 6:00 o'clock p.m. with Commissioners Michael Kendall, Cecil Davis, Eddie Goss, and Johnie McDaniel present. Commissioner Morrow was absent. Also present were Community Development Director Chuck Taylor, Zoning Attorney Newton Galloway, Administrative Services Director Jinna Garrison, sitting in for County Manager William Wilson and County Clerk Phyllis Doane.

A G E N D A

A. Call to order: Chairman Kendall

Motion made by Commissioner Kendall, seconded by Commissioner McDaniel to amend the agenda to add item no. 8 under new Business as to consider extending the moratorium placed on R-3 Multiple Family District. Motion was unanimously approved by a vote of 4-0.

Upon motion by Commissioner Davis, seconded by Commissioner McDaniel the agenda was amended to move all the request of tabling items to the front of the agenda. Motion was unanimously approved by a vote of 4-0.

- 1. Application #04-17S: Lift from the table.** Wilma A. Hollberg, Owner – Next Generation Properties, LLC, Agent – Georgia State Route 155 (North McDonough Road – 142.98 acres, more or less, located in Land Lot(s) 81 and 251 of the 2nd and 3rd Land Districts) – requesting a Special Exception to allow 1-acre lots (Country Club Subdivision) in the R-4 District.

Chairman Kendall stated there is a request by the agent to table this application.

*Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis **Application #04-17S** was lifted from the table by a unanimous vote of 4-0.*

*Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis **Application #04-17S** was tabled indefinitely subject to request by the applicant or agent by a unanimous vote of 4-0.*

- 2. Application #04-22S: Lift from the table.** KKP Developments, LLC, Owner – Teamon Road (100.67 acres located in Land Lot(s) 231 and 232 of the 3rd Land District) – requesting a Special Exception to allow 1-acre lots (Estate Life Neighborhood) in the R-2 District.

Dick Mullins requested that this application be continued to the hearing of August 26, 2004 until there is a full Board present.

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis Application #04-22S was lifted from the table by a unanimous vote of 4-0.

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis Application #04-22S was tabled until the August 26th meeting at the request of KKP Developments by a unanimous vote of 4-0.

- 3. Application #04-30S:** Joelynn Ratley Neighbors, Owner – 880 Buck Creek Road (6.03 acres located in Land Lot 120 of the 3rd Land District) – requesting a Special Exception to allow a Class A Manufactured Home in the AR-1 District.

Upon motion by Commissioner Kendall, seconded by Commissioner Davis at the request of the applicant, Application #04-30S was tabled to the August 26, 2004 meeting by a unanimous vote of 4-0.

B. Old Business:

- 1. Application #04-03Z: Lift from the table** – Norman G. Echols, Etal, Owner – Admiral Company, Inc., Agent – Teamon Road (34.38 acres located in Land Lot 170 of the 3rd Land District) – requesting a rezoning from AR-1, Agricultural and Residential to R-4, Single Family Residential. **Motion made, seconded and unanimously approved to lift item from the table. Motion to approve with a 2-2 vote. No action taken.**
- 2. Application #04-12S: Lift from the table** – Norman G. Echols, Etal, Owner – Admiral Company, Inc., Agent – Teamon Road (34.38 acres, more or less, located in Land Lot 170 of the 3rd Land District) – requesting a Special Exception to allow 1-acre lots (Life Estate Neighborhood) in the R-4 District. **With no action taken on first application item not lifted from the table.**

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis Applications #04-03Z and #04-12S was lifted from the table by a unanimous vote of 4-0.

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis Applications #04-03Z and #04-12S would be combined for the public hearing with separate votes taken on each application.

Community Development Director Chuck Taylor came forward and gave the Staff Report. He stated that the applicant is requesting a rezoning and special exception for a 34.38 acre tract in order to develop a 22 lot, minimum 1 acre lot, subdivision. Currently the tract is zoned AR-1. In that zoning district an estimated maximum 17-lot, 2 acre subdivision could be developed. The request was requested to be tabled at the last meeting. The applicant has recently submitted a revised plan that changed the layout of the subdivision bringing a significant portion of greenspace into the middle of the property, which will be surrounded by lots. This change brings the design into conformance with the goals if the Estate Life design is chosen. Like the proposal by GT Communities, Staff recommends that the building elevations proposed are resubmitted with a design that would meet the requirement that the elevation be dominated by the use of brick or stone. Staff recommends approval of the request because the request is both consistent with

the land use map and the current density pattern in the immediate area and the requested zoning requires a minimum 1,500 square ft. home, which is an increase over the house sizes in the area. Staff recommends approval of the Special Exception with the following conditions:

The applicant is to resubmit building elevations, which meet the design criteria that they be dominated by the use of brick or stone.

An easement for the purpose of access and maintenance of the detention pond is included on subsequent plats of the subdivision submitted to Community Development.

The Planning Commission recommended denial as well as the Board of Appeals.

Mr. Ted Echols came forward and gave his address as 825 Fairways Court, Stockbridge, GA and stated he was representing Admiral Co., Inc. The proposed plan is for nineteen lots on thirty-four acres with 4.5 acres of this being greenspace based on the Estate Life Theme Plan. He said that the property is forest and they wanted to keep as much as they can in the natural state, which is a requirement of this theme. He requested that they be able to design this subdivision in this way to retain the natural feel of the area. The common space, as well as the detention pond, would have to be maintained as greenspace through a homeowners association. A proposed driveway would benefit the neighboring property because there is an existing road or driveway that crisscrosses this proposed road and we feel like by adding this road would give the residents access onto the new road with a safer exiting and entering onto Teamon Road.

Mr. Taylor stated that the Estate Life Theme does not require curb and gutter; however, as with the GT Communities' development the County recommends curb and gutter and is already proposed by the developer.

There was a discussion about buffering the property from the existing property. With the Estate Life Theme most of the buffer will be left in its natural state.

Mr. Echols addressed a concern regarding the question of the road frontage on Teamon Road because the tax map only shows 30 ft. but both the survey and the vesting deed clearly states there is a 50 ft. right of way road frontage on Teamon Road.

Mr. Taylor stated his position on this is that we have a survey plat done by a registered surveyor that shows a 50 ft. access point. He said unless we have a survey that disputes this survey there is no way we have of contradicting a registered survey of the property.

Those signed up to speak in opposition were:

Ms. Sara Wimbush came forward and gave her address as 1286 Teamon Road. Ms. Wimbush stated that the road is only 30 ft. and Mr. Echols does not have the right of way he needs for the required road frontage. She said they were going to have to take land from both sides and the owners were not willing to sell or give an easement. She addressed concerns of school overcrowding and additional traffic on Teamon Road.

Ms. Belinda Williams came forward and gave her address as 1325 Teamon Road. Ms. Williams stated she was opposed to one acre lots and addressed safety concerns, school overcrowding and additional traffic on Teamon Road. She also stated there was only 30 ft. of road frontage. She asked the Board to keep the neighborhood as it is.

Mr. Jimmy Releford came forward and gave his address as 1301 Teamon Road. Mr. Releford stated he was opposed to allowing a special exception to go from two acre lots to one acre lots. He asked the Board to also leave the neighborhood as it is.

Ms. Barbara Adcox came forward and gave her address as 2640 Teamon Road. Ms. Adcox stated that the Board had set the rule for two acres and did not understand why they were even considering allowing one acre lots. Commissioner McDaniel explained the procedure to Ms. Adcox stating that this would allow the Board to have some control on how property is developed. She stated she feels that all these developments in this area make the traffic worse on Teamon Road.

Mr. Bob Burke came forward and gave his address as 120 King Richard Drive. Mr. Burke stated that with 1500 sq. ft. minimum homes, he had a concern with the homeowners association maintaining the greenspace.

Ms. Debra Brown came forward and gave her address as 4344 Philadelphia Drive, Dayton, Ohio. Ms. Brown stated that she owned property at 1240 Teamon Road and had intended coming back here and building her a home. She said there would be only one entrance and there would be traffic coming in day and night. She said she was opposed to this special exception and asked the Board to deny the zoning appeal once and for all.

*Motion made by Commissioner Goss to deny **Application #04-03Z**. Commissioner Kendall seconded the motion for purpose of discussion.*

Commissioner Kendall stated that he had not seen a piece of property in such miserable condition as this as he can recall and it is unfortunate that our developmental non-rules have been such that someone could actually have almost thirty-five acres which is essentially landlocked with no access to the road. He stated that these people have almost thirty-five acres that they have a right to develop in some way and how is a real big question.

Commissioner Kendall withdrew his second to the motion to deny because it was explained that as a matter of right the developer could change to a conservation subdivision and reduce it to seventeen houses on twenty acres of land with 40% greenspace and not have to have the property rezoned.

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to approve **Application #04-03Z** with conditions recommended by the Community Development Department and added condition of all front and side porches be underpinned with the character of the houses. Motion seconded by Commissioner Davis. Motion tied by a vote of 2-2 with Commissioners McDaniel and Davis voting for the motion and Commissioners Kendall and Goss voting against the motion. Therefore, no action was taken on the motion and application can come up again next month.*

*With no action taken on the rezoning, there was no need to take action on **Application #04-12S**. This application can come up again next month.*

C. New Business:

- 1. Application #04-24S:** Jarrett H. Turner, Owner – Martha Rhoden, Agent – 102 Brookview Drive (2.38 acres located in Land Lot 50 of the 2nd Land District) – requesting a Special Exception to allow a Class A Manufactured Home in the AR-1 District.

Community Development Director Chuck Taylor came forward and gave the Staff Report. He stated that applicant requests a Special Exception to place a manufactured home on property in the AR-1 district. The proposed home is a 1999 Grand Manor home, with approximately 1,848 sq. ft., heated space and an estimated cost of \$58,900. There is no trend in this area. There has been no new construction or manufactured home placement in this subdivision since prior to 1998. The applicant wishes to replace an existing manufactured home on the property. The subdivision is over 50% manufactured homes. A majority of the manufactured homes are older singlewide homes. Staff recommends approval of the application and Board of Appeals also recommended approval.

There was no one signed up to speak for or against this application.

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to approve **Application #04-24S**. Commissioner Kendall seconded the motion and motion was approved by a vote of 3-0 (Commissioner Davis was not present at time of vote).*

2. **Application #04-12Z:** David H. Duke, Trustee of Clifford C. Duke Trust, Owner – Minerva Properties, L.L.P., Agent – Johnson Road (76.97 acres located in Land Lot 143 of the 2nd Land District) – requesting a rezoning from R-2, Single Family Residential, to PDD, Planned Development District.
3. **Application #04-33S:** David H. Duke, Trustee of Clifford C. Duke Trust, Owner – Minerva Properties, L.L.P., Agent – Johnson Road (76.97 acres located in Land Lot 143 of the 2nd Land District) – requesting a Special Exception to allow zero lot line dwellings (Traditional Design) in the R-2 District.
4. **Application #04-12AZ:** Minerva Properties, L.L.P., Owner – Old Railway portion on Johnson Road (.21 acre located in Land Lot(s) 143, 144, 145, and 146 of the 2nd Land District) – requesting a rezoning from R-2, Single Family Residential, to PDD, Planned Development District.
5. **Application #04-31S:** Minerva Properties, L.L.P., Owner – Old Railway portion on Johnson Road (.21 acre located in Land Lot(s) 143, 144, 145 and 146 of the 2nd Land District) – requesting a Special Exception to allow zero lot line dwellings (Traditional Design) in the R-2 District. Approved by a vote of 3-1 with Commissioner Goss voting in opposition with the following conditions:

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to hear **Applications #04-12Z, #04-33S, #04-12AZ and #04-31S** together and vote separately on the applications. Commissioner Kendall seconded the motion and motion was approved by a vote of 3-0 (Commissioner Davis was out of the room at time of vote).*

Community Development Director Chuck Taylor came forward and gave the Staff Report. He stated that this was another expansion of the Minerva Heron Bay Development for the south end of the County. Minerva is representing the Duke's to allow for the approval of a development of two tracts totaling 77.18 ± acres. The development would include 154 single family residential dwellings in two phases. The special exception design Minerva originally chose was the Traditional Neighborhood Design but after review by the Board of Appeals, they are willing to switch the design to the Country Club design. They will have to request a variance not to have to do all the amenities required because the amenities are provided in the large Heron Bay development that more exceeds what is required in the Country Club design.

Mr. Taylor addressed the impact that traffic would place on Johnson Road. He stated that the road, the wooden bridge on this road and the intersection of Johnson Road with S.R. 155 will require considerable upgrading. He urged the Board of Commissioners to consider Johnson Road and if you approve the rezoning of the special exception to recognize this issue of Johnson Road and require some resolution as what is going to happen with Johnson Road, either improve it or re-route it with a joint effort by the County and the developer at a later date.

The Planning Commission recommended conditional approval with the re-design of the subdivision and the Board of Appeals recommended approval of the special exception provided that the overall design is changed to the Country Club theme.

Mr. Brian Davison representing Minerva came forward and gave his address as 2292 Henderson Mill Road, Atlanta, GA. Mr. Davison stated that these 77 acres is an expansion of the existing Heron Bay development catering to empty nesters which will make a low impact on vehicle traffic and overcrowding of schools. He discussed the different types of development that are going on with the Spalding County side with the different prices of the homes. He said that this is the last piece they have to add to the Heron Bay development and they were excited about doing this.

He discussed the Johnson Road issue. He said that Johnson Road is a problem and was even before the thirty houses were allowed. The road should be straightened in some manner and the intersection at S.R. 155 is a bad site line. He said that it should have been closed off or improved. He said that they had tried to purchase the Wilson property to be able to straighten the road through their property to create a proper intersection but unfortunately they do not wish to sell. He said that they have built a major parkway throughout the neighborhood. They are not depending on Johnson Road to move traffic. He said they would be willing to fund the straightening of Johnson Road if they could acquire the property they need to do so. He said from an economic point of view this development should be the most desirable. He said they have no problem switching from the Traditional Neighborhood theme to the Country Club theme with the variance given on the amenities required for such.

Those speaking in opposition to the applications were:

Doreen and Ed Palmer came forward and gave their address as 417 Johnson Road, Locust Grove, GA. They were totally not opposed to the development but were concerned about Johnson Road and the bad site line at S.R. 155. Ms. Palmer stated that a primary access point from this development to S.R. 155 is Johnson Road. The road, the wooden bridge on this road and the intersection of Johnson Road with S.R. 155 would need considerable upgrade to accommodate the additional traffic generated. They live right next to the Fincher property already purchased by Minerva. She said they were concerned about the gridlock that exists at present with the development only beginning and the traffic is definitely going to increase. The only way out is Johnson Road or out Teamon Road. Trestle Road has been closed off due to development. Ms. Palmer stated she personally would like to see the number of homes reduced dramatically as too many homes are being developed that are impacting Johnson Road and will spill onto S.R. 155.

Mr. Davison stated that they had temporarily closed Trestle Road and it should be open again in approximately two weeks. Minerva has improved a portion of Trestle Road at the request of the owners and they are willing to do volunteer work when it makes sense. Johnson Road should have been closed years ago. Mr. Davison stated that they would be willing to fund 90% of the Johnson Road improvements for a solution to the problem. This problem does not have to be

resolved until the Duke property is developed, which is at least three years out and hopefully the problem will solve itself by acquiring land fronting S.R. 155.

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to approve **Application #04-12Z**. Commissioner Davis seconded the motion and motion passed by a vote of 3-1 with Commissioner Goss voting in opposition. **The Resolution will be incorporated into the minutes on second and final reading.***

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to approve **Application #04-33S** conditioned upon that the design be changed to Country Club and that a variance be granted to consider the amenities in Heron Bay Proper for the amenities required for this application. Commissioner Davis seconded the motion with conditions and motion passed by a vote of 3-1 with Commissioner Goss voting in opposition.*

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to approve **Application #04-12AZ**. Commissioner Davis seconded the motion and motion passed by a vote of 3-1 with Commissioner Goss voting in opposition. **The Resolution will be incorporated into the minutes on second and final reading.***

*Motion made by Commissioner McDaniel to approve **Application #04-31S** conditioned upon that the design is changed to Country Club and that a variance be granted to consider the amenities in Heron Bay Proper for the amenities required for this application. Commissioner Davis seconded the motion with conditions and motion passed by a vote of 3-1 with Commissioner Goss voting in opposition.*

- 6. Amendment to UDO #A-04-14:** Appendix A. Subdivision Ordinance – Section 301:E and Appendix J. Commercial/Industrial Development Ordinance – Section 7:7 – amend fee schedule.

There was no one signed up to speak for or against this amendment to the UDO.

*Upon motion by Commissioner Kendall, seconded by Commissioner McDaniel Amendment to **UDO #A-04-14** was unanimously approved by a vote of 4-0. **The Resolution will be incorporated into the minutes on second and final reading.***

- 7. Amendment to UDO #A-04-15:** Appendix J. Commercial/Industrial Development Ordinance – Section 408:B(7) – delete detention pond requirements.

There was no one signed up to speak for or against this application.

Mr. Taylor stated that Commissioner Morrow had asked him to suggest some changes. One is that the 15 ft. required minimum access to the detention pond from the public right of way be reduced to 12 ft. and the other change is that the Development Authority be exempt from having to provide detention pond fencing.

The Board had no problem with the first change but did not want to make the second change at this time.

Motion made by Commissioner Kendall to approve Amendment to **UDO #A-04-15** with one change of last sentence in Section 2, 6. “To read: All detention ponds shall have a twelve (12) foot minimum width easement to a public right of way in lieu of fifteen (15) foot minimum. *Commissioner Davis seconded the motion and motion passed by a vote of 3-1 with Commissioner*

Goss voting in opposition. The Resolution will be incorporated into the minutes on second and final reading depicting the change.

Chairman Kendall called for a three minute recess before entering into Closed Meeting.

D. Other Business:

Upon motion by Commissioner Kendall, seconded by Commissioner McDaniel the Board voted unanimously to go into a Closed Meeting to discuss pending litigation.

1. Zoning Attorney Galloway desires a Closed Meeting to discuss pending litigation.

Those present were Commissioners Michael Kendall, Johnie McDaniel, Cecil Davis and Eddie Goss. Also present were Zoning Attorney Newton Galloway, Community Development Director Chuck Taylor and County Clerk Phyllis Doane.

CLOSED MEETING AFFIDAVIT

[A copy of the affidavit must be filed with the minutes of the meeting]

STATE OF GEORGIA
COUNTY OF SPALDING

AFFIDAVIT OF CHAIRMAN

Members of the Spalding County Board of Commissioners, being duly sworn, states under oath that the following is true and accurate to the best of his/her knowledge and belief:

1.

The Spalding County Board of Commissioners met in a duly advertised meeting on July 22, 2004.

2.

During such meeting, the Board voted to go into closed session.

3.

The executive session was called to order at 8:45 p.m.

4.

The subject matter of the closed portion of the meeting was devoted to the following matter(s) within the exceptions provided in the open meetings law:

Yes Consultation with the county attorney or other legal counsel to discuss pending or potential litigation, settlement, claims, administrative proceedings, or other judicial actions brought or to be brought by or against the county or any officer or employee or in which the county or any officer or employee may be directly involved as provided in O.C.G.A. § 50-14-2(1);

No Discussion of tax matters made confidential by state law as provided by O.C.G.A. § 50-14-2(2) and
(insert the citation to the legal authority making the tax matter confidential)_____;

No Discussion of the future acquisition of real estate as provided by O.C.G.A. § 50-14-3(4);

No Discussion or deliberation on the appointment, employment, compensation, hiring, disciplinary action or dismissal, or periodic evaluation or rating of a county officer or employee as provided in O.C.G.A. § 50-14-3(6);

No Other (describe the exemption to the open meetings law):

_____ as provided in (insert the citation to the legal authority exempting the topic)_____.

Spalding County Board of Commissioners

Sworn to and subscribed
Before me this 22nd day of
July 2004
Phyllis P. Doane

Cecil L Davis
M. Michael Kendall
Edward Goss, Jr.
Johnie A. McDaniel

Notary Public
My commission expires: March 13, 2006

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis the Board voted unanimously to come out of Closed Meeting and go back into Open Session.

The following business was transacted.

8. Consider Resolution to Extend the Moratorium on the Acceptance and Approval of Rezoning Applications and the Acceptance and Approval of Development Plans, Construction Plans and Building Permits for Developments in the R-3 Multiple Family District.

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis the following Resolution was unanimously approved by a vote of 4-0.

**APPLICATIONS AND THE ACCEPTANCE AND
APPROVAL OF DEVELOPMENT PLANS, CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND
BUILDING PERMITS FOR DEVELOPMENTS IN THE
R-3 MULTIPLE-FAMILY DISTRICT
WITHIN SPALDING COUNTY, GEORGIA**

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia under the Constitution and Laws of the State of Georgia is empowered by virtue of its police power to regulate the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Spalding County to provide for and enact zoning and developmental regulations;

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia enacted the current Zoning Ordinance of Spalding County, Georgia on January 4, 1994 and therein adopted the Official Zoning Map of Spalding County, Georgia, in Article 23, Section 2301, et. seq.;

WHEREAS, Spalding County desires to increase the standards of amenities and construction for multi-family developments proposed to be located within Spalding County;

WHEREAS, Spalding County desires to implement more detailed and appropriate planning procedures and criteria which will govern the location and placement of multi-family residential developments within Spalding County in conjunction with its comprehensive plan;

WHEREAS, Spalding County desires to briefly control and restrict multi-family residential development until such time as it may consider, implement and adopt Zoning Ordinance text amendments required to carry out its goals, stated above;

NOW THEREFORE, IT SHALL BE AND IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia, that a moratorium restricting certain development pertinent to multi-family residential development shall be enacted, as follows:

Section 1: Enactment of a Rezoning Moratorium: The Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia hereby enacts and directs any and all applicable personnel and agencies of Spalding County, Georgia to enforce a moratorium on receipt, acceptance, consideration and approval of any application seeking the rezoning of property to the R-3 Multiple Family District, as defined by Article 9 of the Zoning Ordinance of Spalding County.

Section 2: Enactment of a Moratorium on Plan Review and Approval: The Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia hereby enacts and directs any and all applicable personnel and agencies of Spalding County, Georgia to enforce a moratorium on the receipt, acceptance, consideration and approval of any Plan of Development for any proposed development under the R-3 Multiple Family District, as required and set forth in Section 904 of the Zoning Ordinance of Spalding County.

Section 3: Enactment of a Moratorium on Construction Plan Approval: The Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia hereby enacts and directs any and all applicable personnel and agencies of Spalding County, Georgia to enforce a moratorium on receipt, acceptance, consideration and approval of any construction plans required for any proposed development under the R-3 Multiple Family District.

Section 4: Enactment of a Moratorium on Building Permits: The Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia hereby enacts and directs any and all applicable personnel and agencies of Spalding County, Georgia to enforce a moratorium on receipt, acceptance, consideration and issuance of any building permit requested to construct any improvement on any real property which is zoned R-3 Multiple-Family District.

Section 5: Impact on Other Development Ordinances. The provisions of this resolution shall not restrict or prohibit any other development of any real property except that specifically stated herein.

Section 6: Effective Date and Duration. The provisions of this Resolution and the effects of the moratorium set forth herein shall commence on Wednesday, December 17, 2003 at 11:15 a.m. or upon its passage and adoption, whichever shall later occur and shall terminate on Sunday, October 31, 2004 at 11:59 p.m., unless extended by the Board of Commissioners of Spalding County, Georgia.

This the 22nd day of July 2004.

E. Adjournment.

Upon motion by Commissioner McDaniel, seconded by Commissioner Davis the meeting was unanimously adjourned at 9:12 P.M.

County Clerk

Chairman

Please send comments to webmaster@spaldingcounty.com
Copyright © 2000 Spalding County all rights reserved